

**Online Appendix: Additional Analyses**

**Table A1. Probability of MID Onset in Contiguous Dyads, 1816-2001.**

Reference to Table 2	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
<i>Unsettled borders, not joint democracy</i>	0.0563 (0.0477-0.0649)	0.0547 (0.0460-0.0633)	0.0714 (0.0593-0.0835)	0.0695 (0.0541-0.0849)
Settled borders, <i>not joint democracy</i>	0.0363 (0.0324-0.0403)	0.0351 (0.0311-0.0390)	0.0395 (0.0353-0.0437)	0.0352 (0.0307-0.0397)
<i>Unsettled borders, joint democracy</i>	0.0680 (0.0326-0.1035)	0.0695 (0.0351-0.1039)	0.0712 (0.0277-0.1147)	0.0849 (0.0451-0.1247)
Settled borders, joint democracy	0.0214 (0.0127-0.0301)	0.0218 (0.0131-0.0305)	0.0224 (0.0134-0.0313)	0.0234 (0.0134-0.0335)


Notes: The 90% confidence interval bounds are listed in parentheses for each estimated probability.

**Table A2. Logistic Regression of Crisis Onset in Contiguous Dyads, 1919-2001.**

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Time Period	1919-2001	1919-2001	1919-2001	1945-2001
Restriction	None	None	No world wars	None
Settled borders	-0.5950*** (0.1955)	-0.5982*** (0.2039)	-0.6694*** (0.2042)	-0.9873*** (0.2196)
Joint democracy	-0.3536 (0.5016)	-0.2786 (0.5702)	-0.2421 (0.5585)	-0.3131 (0.5063)
Joint democracy with settled borders	-0.4953 (0.7197)	-0.7121 (0.7388)	-0.6804 (0.7276)	-0.8521 (0.8729)
Major state involved	-	0.8264*** (0.2568)	0.7379** (0.2851)	-0.0572 (0.3771)
Allied to one another	-	0.0203 (0.1728)	-0.0469 (0.1705)	-0.1135 (0.1971)
Capability ratio (ln)	-	0.2309*** (0.0761)	0.2564*** (0.0784)	0.2002*** (0.0714)
Peace years	-0.2621*** (0.0532)	-0.2715*** (0.0536)	-0.2777*** (0.0555)	-0.2628*** (0.0640)
Constant	-2.2836*** (0.2126)	-2.0282*** (0.2460)	-1.8775*** (0.2494)	-1.6865*** (0.2800)
Obs.	11,620	11,620	11,261	9,473
LR $\chi^2$	133.75***	153.17***	148.62***	120.56***

Notes: a) Robust standard errors presented in parentheses; b) \*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$ ; c) spline coefficients are not reported in the table.

**Figure A1. Probability of Crisis Onset in Contiguous Dyads, 1919-2001 (Model 2, Table 3).**



**Table A3. Probability of Crisis Onset in Contiguous Dyads, 1919-2001.**

Reference to Table A2	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
<i>Unsettled borders, not joint democracy</i>	0.0189 (0.0130-0.0248)	0.0199 (0.0137-0.0261)	0.0234 (0.0164-0.0303)
<i>Settled borders, not joint democracy</i>	0.0105 (0.0084-0.0126)	0.0103 (0.0081-0.0124)	0.0088 (0.0067-0.0110)
<i>Unsettled borders, joint democracy</i>	0.0144 (0.0009-0.0278)	0.0157 (0.0013-0.0300)	0.0172 (0.0030-0.0314)
<i>Settled borders, joint democracy</i>	0.0039 (0.0007-0.0071)	0.0041 (0.0008-0.0074)	0.0028 (0.0000-0.0061)

Notes: The 90% confidence interval bounds are listed in parentheses for each estimated probability.

**Table A4. Probability of MID and Crisis Onset in Noncontiguous Dyads.**

Reference to Table 5	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
Time Period					
No joint democracy	0.0007 (0.0006-0.0007)	-	-	0.0002 (0.0001-0.0002)	-
Joint democracy	0.0002 (0.0002-0.0003)	-	-	0.0000 (0.0000-0.0000)	-
<i>Unsettled</i> borders, <i>not</i> joint democracy	-	0.0008 (0.0007-0.0009)	0.0008 (0.0007-0.0009)	-	0.0002 (0.0002-0.0003)
Settled borders, <i>not</i> joint democracy	-	0.0007 (0.0006-0.0008)	0.0006 (0.0005-0.0007)	-	0.0001 (0.0001-0.0001)
<i>Unsettled</i> borders, joint democracy	-	0.0004 (0.0002-0.0006)	0.0004 (0.0002-0.0006)	-	0.0001 (0.0000-0.0001)
Settled borders, joint democracy	-	0.0002 (0.0001-0.0003)	0.0002 (0.0001-0.0003)	-	0.0000 (0.0000-0.0000)

Notes: The 90% confidence interval bounds are listed in parentheses for each estimated probability.

**Table A5: Types of Territorial Claims in All Dyads**

Territorial Claim with <i>Economic</i> Value	Jointly Democratic Dyad (Column Percentage)	
	No	Yes
No	4,099	379
Yes	4,726	657
Obs.	9,861	
$\chi^2$ (1 d.f.)	36.395 (p<0.001)	
$\gamma$ (A.S.E.)	0.201 (0.033)	
Territorial Claim with <i>Strategic</i> Value		
No	3,589	511
Yes	5,236	525
Obs.	9,861	
$\chi^2$ (1 d.f.)	28.597 (p<0.001)	
$\gamma$ (A.S.E.)	-0.174 (0.032)	

**Table A6. Changes in Crisis and MID Behavior within Noncontiguous Dyads**

	All Noncontiguous Dyads (Democratic Peace Predictions)			Non-Democratic Dyads (Territorial Peace Predictions)			Dyads with Unsettled Borders (Democratic Peace Predictions)		
	Before Joint Democracy (average)	After Joint Democracy (average)	Difference (t-value)	Before Settled Borders (average)	After Settled Borders (average)	Difference (t-value)	Before Joint Democracy (average)	After Joint Democracy (average)	Difference (t-value)
<i>Militarized Interstate Disputes</i>									
MID Duration (days)	145.41	67.32	2.12**	162.86	174.19	-0.35	162.86	25.06	1.60*
Severity of MIDs (Diehl/Goertz)	33.92	22.41	1.96**	37.65	33.61	0.83	37.65	15.12	1.77**
Highest Action (either disputant)	13.17	13.42	-0.42	13.65	13.40	0.56	13.65	14.24	-0.50
<i>International Crises</i>									
Crisis Duration (days)	235.65	57.22	2.09**	245.88	349.18	-2.49***	245.88	49.00	1.76**
Severity of Violence	3.03	2.22	1.88**	3.36	3.32	0.24	3.36	2.00	1.70**
How Crisis is Handled	6.48	5.89	0.79	6.74	7.04	-1.05	6.74	6.50	0.16

Notes: a) \* $p < 0.10$ , \*\* $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.01$ .